



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,299	10/06/2003	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2662	3512
22204 7590 04/27/2009 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128				
EXAMINER GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2811				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/27/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/678,299

Applicant(s)

YAMAZAKI ET AL.

Examiner

SAMUEL A. GEBREMARIAM

Art Unit

2811

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAMUEL A. GEBREMARIAM.(3) Dr. Ryoji Nomura.(2) Marc W. Butler.(4) Jeffrey L. Costellia.

Date of Interview: 4/21/09.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2-11, 20-32 and 35-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sasaki.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated the prior art Sasaki and Luo does not teach the limitation that an electroluminescence element comprising an organic layer interposed between a pair of electrodes, wherein one of the pair of electrodes is electrically connected to the second thin film transistor. This argument as well as any additional arguments will be fully considered in the response to the last office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Samuel A Gebremariam/
Examiner, Art Unit 2811